Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	nanı	10/823,443	WIEN ET AL.
	''a' y	Examiner	Art Unit
		Peter A. Hruskoci	1797
All Participants:		Status of Application: _	
(1) <u>Peter A. Hruskoci</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Eugene I. Shkurko</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 December 2007		Time:	
	☐ Applicant	's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: None			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING T See Continuation Sheet	HE GENERA	AL NATURE OF WHAT WA	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the appli of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	cation. The e separate rec	examiner will provide a writer a writer a writer as writer as well as writer	itten summary of the substance ne interview, since the interview
			•
		_	
·		-	
Peter a Huskoci			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/A	pplicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to amend the claims as shown in the Examiner's Amendment for the sake of clarity and accuracy. It was noted that the terminal disclaimers filed 11/30/07 were approved to overcome an obviousness-type double patenting rejection over the claims in U.S. Patent 7,258,804, and a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection over the claims in 10/936,915. It was noted by Mr.Shkurko that the instant application and 7,258,804, were commonly owned at the time the instant invention was made.